## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 OECD P 01555 01 OF 03 162057Z

67

**ACTION EUR-12** 

INFO OCT-01 ARA-06 EA-07 IO-11 ISO-00 AID-05 CEA-01

CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-07 FRB-03 INR-07 NEA-10 NSAE-00

OPIC-03 SP-02 TRSE-00 CIEP-01 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01

NSC-05 SS-15 STR-04 SSO-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00 USIE-00

OIC-02 L-03 /111 W

----- 005727

O R 162047Z JAN 75

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC IMMEDIATE 0140

INFO AMEMBASSY TOKYO

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY CARACAS

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USDEL MTN GENEVA

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 01 OF 03 OECD PARIS 01555

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: EGEN, CIEC, OECD

SUBJECT: JANUARY 16 G-8 MEETING: ADMINISTRATIVE AND

ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS - PART II

REF: A. STATE 8423, B. STATE 2991, C. OECD PARIS 1392

1. DEPUTY EXECUTIVE SECRETARY FOR CIEC SECRETARIAT. CHAIRMAN MACLEAN OPENED BY NOTING THAT SINCE LAST WEEK TWO CANDIDACIES HAD EMERGED, HENTSCH OF SWITZERLAND AND YOKOBORI OF JAPAN, BOTH OF WHOM APPEARED WELL-QUALIFIED. LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 OECD P 01555 01 OF 03 162057Z

HE INVITED PRELIMINARY VIEWS. AUSTRALIAN PERMREP CAMERON

SUMMARIZED CRITERIA FOR POSITION, ALL OF WHICH WERE AD-VERSE TO YOKOBORI. THESE WERE: (1) CANDIDATE SHOULD COME FROM GOVERNMENTAL ADMINISTRATIONS. SECONDMENT FROM OECD CARRIED RISK OF BEING MISUNDERSTOOD BY G-19 AND. AS MEMBER OF JOINT ENERGY STAFF, YOKOBORI'S POSITION COULD ALSO BE INTERPRETED AS AN ASSIGNMENT FROM THE IEA. (2) THE CANDIDATE SHOULD BE A "GOOD OPERATOR" WITH CON-FERENCE EXPERIENCE RATHER THAN A SUBSTANTIVE EXPERT OR A GOOD WRITER AND IDEA MAN. YOKOBORI APPEARED TO FALL IN THE SECOND CATEGORY. (3) IN VIEW OF THE CLOSE RELATION-SHIP WITH THE FRENCH, FLUENCY IN THAT LANGUAGE WOULD BE A CONSIDERATION. (4) OTHER THINGS BEING EQUAL THERE WAS SOMETHING TO BE SAID FOR POSITION GOING TO NATIONAL OF COUNTRY THAT DID NOT ALSO HOLD A CO-CHAIRMANSHIP. JAPAN-ESE PERMREP HIRAHARA RESPONDED THAT HE BELIEVED YOKOBORI TO BE QUALIFIED UNDER OBJECTIVE CRITERIA AND HE STILL ADVANCED HIS CANDIDACY. HIRAHARA SAID THAT YOKOBORI WOULD NOT BE SECONDED FROM IEA OR OECD BUT WOULD RESIGN FROM HIS PRESENT POSITION AND BE SECONDED DIRECTLY FROM THE JAPANESE GOVERNMENT.

- 2. SPANISH PERMREP VALLAURE ANNOUNCED THAT HE WOULD BE PUTTING A CANDIDATE FORWARD SHORTLY BUT DID NOT YET HAVE A NAME TO ADVANCE. MACLEAN URGED SPANIARDS TO MOVE PROMPTLY SINCE CANADIANS HOPED FINAL DECISION COULD BE CONCLUDED BY THE MIDDLE OF NEXT WEEK. THIS WOULD MEAN SPANIARD NAME AND CURRICULUM VITAE SHOULD BE PUT FORWARD BY MONDAY. VALLAURE DID NOT FULLY ACCEPT THIS DESIDERATUM
- 3. SWISS PERMREP GRUBEL REFERRED TO QUALIFICATIONS FOR POSITION THAT MACLEAN HIMSELF HAD EARLIER SET FORTH. INCLUDED AMONG THESE WERE THAT CANDIDATE SHOULD BE GOOD WORKER, HAVE A GOOD GENERAL AND CULTURAL BACKGROUND, BE GIFTED IN ORGANIZATIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, HAVE SOME EXPERIENCE WITH INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND SOME DIPLOMATIC EXPERIENCE. DESPITE DIFFICULTIES IN FINDING SUCH A MAN ON SHORT NOTICE, SWISS BELIEVED THEY HAVE SUCCEEDED.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 OECD P 01555 01 OF 03 162057Z

- 4. MACLEAN CONCLUDED DISCUSSION BY REPEATING HIS DESIRE FOR VERY EARLY DECISION, HOPEFULLY WITHOUT THE NEED FOR ANOTHER G-8 MEETING. IN ANY CASE, HE THOUGHT IT IMPORTANT THE MATTER BE SETTLED BEFORE NEXT WEEKEND.
- 5. INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AS OBSERVERS IN THE COMMISSIONS. JAPAN AND US TOOK VIEW THIS ISSUE SHOULD BE LEFT TO COMMISSIONS THEMSELVES TO DECIDE. SPAIN FELT ALL

ORGANIZATIONS NAMED IN CIEC FINAL DOCUMENT SHOULD BE REPRESENTED IN ALL ORGANIZATIONS, AND SWEDEN BELIEVED THAT BASED ON THIS FINAL DOCUMENT THE DECISION WAS UP TO THE INDIVIDUALLY NAMED ORGANIZATIONS AS TO WHICH COMMISSIONS THEY WOULD OBSERVE. THE CANADIANS AND THE AUSTRALIANS FELT THAT IF THIS QUESTION WERE NOT TREATED BEFORE FEB. 11, EACH OF THE NAMED INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS WOULD APPEAR AT THE DOORSTEP OF EACH OF THE FOUR COMMISSIONS, WHICH WOULD THEN SPEND CONSIDERABLE TIME AT THEIR INITIAL MEETINGS TRYING TO DECIDE WHICH ORGANIZATION QUALIFIED AS "RELEVANT" UNDER THE CRITERION OF THE CIEC COMMUNIQUE, WITH CONSIDERABLE DANGER THAT ALL OR MOST

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 OECD P 01555 02 OF 03 162059Z

67

**ACTION EUR-12** 

INFO OCT-01 ARA-06 EA-07 IO-11 ISO-00 AID-05 CEA-01

CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-07 FRB-03 INR-07 NEA-10 NSAE-00

OPIC-03 SP-02 TRSE-00 CIEP-01 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01

NSC-05 SS-15 STR-04 SSO-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00 USIE-00

OIC-02 L-03 /111 W

----- 006036

O R 162047Z JAN 75

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC IMMEDIATE 0141

INFO AMEMBASSY TOKYO

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY CARACAS

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS USDEL MTN GENEVA

## LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 02 OF 03 OECD PARIS 01555

ORGANIZATIONS WOULD BECOME OBSERVERS TO EACH COMMISSION WHERE THEY WOULD NEARLY OUTNUMBER ACTUAL MEMBERS. AS AUSTRALIAN PERMREP OUTLINED MATTER ONLY WAY TO PRECLUDE THIS FROM HAPPENING WAS TO HAVE CO-CHAIRMEN IN NAME OF CIEC CONFERENCE INDICATE CLEARLY WHICH ORGANIZATIONS WERE RELEVANT FOR WHICH COMMISSIONS.

6. RETURNING TO THIS MATTER AT THE END OF THE MEETING, MACLEAN SAID HE THOUGHT THIS ISSUE WOULD HAVE TO BE RE-LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 OECD P 01555 02 OF 03 162059Z

EXAMINED AT A MEETING OF G-8 AT OFFICIAL AND PERMREP LEVEL ON JAN. 24.

- 7. ON QUESTION OF UNNAMED INTERNATIONAL ORQANIZATIONS TO BE INVITED BY COMMISSIONS ON AD HOC BASIS, CLEAR CONSENSUS OF GROUP WAS THAT INDIVIDUAL COMMISSIONS WOULD DECIDE WHEN APPROPRIATE QUESTIONS AROSE.
- 8. SIZE OF DELEGATIONS TO COMMISSIONS. MACLEAN OPENED DISCUSSION BY EXPRESSING VIEW THAT SIZE OF DELEGATIONS WOULD HAVE TO BE LEFT TO INDIVIDUAL COMMISSIONS AS A QUESTION OF INDIVIDUAL OPERATING STYLE.
- 9. THE EC AND THE JAPANESE PERMREPS EACH OBSERVED THAT THEY NORMALLY HAD VERY LARGE DELEGATIONS (EC FOR OBVIOUS REASONS AND JAPAN AS MATTER OF TRADITION) AND THEY PREFERRED NOT TO SEE LIMITS TO SIZE OF MEMBER DELEGATIONS.
- 10. USREP TOOK POSITION SET FORTH IN REFTEL A.
- 11. MACLEAN SAID THAT QUESTION OF CONFERENCE MEMBERS WHO WERE NOT MEMBERS OF PARTICULAR COMMISSION HAD BEEN DECIDED UNDER THE CIEC FINAL ACCORD AND THERE WAS NO NEED TO DISCUSS. HE ASKED IF ALL MEMBERS OF G-8 WANTED TO BE PRESENT AT ALL COMMISSIONS. A TOUR DE TABLE OF THE RELEVANT FIVE PROVIDED THE UNANIMOUS REPLY THAT ALL DID AND THAT TWO MEMBERS OF EACH AUDITING DELEGATION WOULD BE ENOUGH. MACLEAN THEN ASKED THE EC AND JAPAN IF THEY COULD PROVIDE THE NAMES OF THEIR CO-CHAIRMEN. NEITHER COULD.
- 12. LDC COMMISSION CO-CHAIRMEN. CANADIANS ANNOUNCED THEIR UNDERSTANDING OF LDC COMMISSION CO-CHAIRMEN, WHICH ACCORDED WITH OUR EARLIER REPORT (REFTEL C). ADDITIONAL

INFORMATION WAS THAT PERUVIAN CO-CHAIRMAN FOR RAW MATERIALS WOULD BE JOSE DE LA PUENTE, THE SENIOR FOREIGN MINISTRY MAN FOR ECONOMIC AFFAIRS.

13. COST-SHARING. THE DISCUSSION OPENED WITH VARIOUS DELEGATIONS PRESENTING THE IMPERATIVES OF THEIR RESPECTIVE POSITIONS. THE EC FELT IT WOULD HAVE TO BENEFIT LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 OECD P 01555 02 OF 03 162059Z

FROM ANY CEILING GRANTED TO THE US AND THAT IT WISHED TO BE TREATED AS A SINGLE COUNTRY ENTITY FOR THIS PURPOSE. THE JAPANESE FELT THAT CEILINGS ON COST-SHARING FORMULAE APPLICABLE IN LARGER ORGANIZATIONS LIKE THE UN OR THE OECD SHOULD NOT BE APPLICABLE IN A GROUP AS SMALL AS EIGHT. FURTHERMORE, THE JAPANESE DO NOT BELIEVE THEY SHOULD BE PUT ON A BASIS OF EQUALITY WITH THE US AND THE EC. THE US PRESENTED OUR POSITION DRAWN FROM REF B. THERE WAS DISCUSSION BETWEEN SOME COUNTRIES FAVORING A FORMULA BASED ON GNP AND THOSE FAVORING A FORMULA BASED ON SEATS IN THE COMMISSIONS.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 OECD P 01555 03 OF 03 162111Z

67

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ARA-06 EA-07 IO-11 ISO-00 AID-05 CEA-01

CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-07 FRB-03 INR-07 NEA-10 NSAE-00

OPIC-03 SP-02 TRSE-00 CIEP-01 LAB-04 SIL-01 OMB-01

NSC-05 SS-15 STR-04 SSO-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00 USIE-00

OIC-02 L-03 /111 W

----- 006311

O R 162047Z JAN 75

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC IMMEDIATE 0142
INFO AMEMBASSY TOKYO
AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM
AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG
AMEMBASSY MADRID
AMEMBASSY BERN
AMEMBASSY CANBERRA
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA
AMEMBASSY CARACAS
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS
USDEL MTN GENEVA

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 03 OF 03 OECD PARIS 01555

14. AFTER A LENGTHY DISCUSSION OF VARIOUS POSSIBILITIES, THE FOLLOWING FORMULA EMERGED WHICH MACLEAN ASKED ALL MEMBERS TO RECOMMEND TO THEIR GOVERNMENTS. THE EIGHT WOULD TAKE AS A STARTING POINT THE FORMULA CALCULATED BY THE OECD SECRETARIAT ON THE BASIS OF EQUAL WEIGHTING FOR GNP AND FOR NUMBER OF COMMISSION SEATS HELD. TO THIS FORMULA A 25 PERCENT CEILING WOULD BE IMPOSED LOWERING THE US CONTRIBUTION FROM 33.03 PERCENT AND THE EC FROM LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 OECD P 01555 03 OF 03 162111Z

25.81 PERCENT. THE APPROXIMATELY NINE PERCENT SO REDUCED WOULD BE DISTRIBUTED AMONG THE OTHER SIX BASED ON A CALCULATION DERIVED FROM THE ORIGINAL WEIGHTS UNDER THIS FORMULA. MACLEAN PROMISED THAT THESE NUMBERS WOULD BE PRECISELY WORKED AND A PAPER CONTAINING THEM CIRCULATED. WHILE SOME RESERVATIONS WERE EXPRESSED AND NO COMMITMENTS TAKEN, THERE SEEMED A GENERAL VIEW THAT THIS FORMULA MIGHT BE ACCEPTABLE. MACLEAN CONCLUDED THE DISCUSSION ON THIS POINT BY EXPRESSING THE HOPE THAT THIS ISSUE COULD BE RESOLVED BY THE MIDDLE OF NEXT WEEK WITHOUT THE NECESSITY OF ANOTHER MEETING. HOWEVER, IF THIS PROVED IMPOSSIBLE, THE ISSUE WILL HAVE TO BE HELD OVER FOR THE OFFICIAL/PERMREP MEETING OF JAN. 24.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2000	5

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: MEETING AGENDA, ORGANIZATION & MANAGEMENT, MEETINGS, INDUSTRIALIZED NATIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 16 JAN 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975OFCDP01555

Document Number: 1975OECDP01555 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: D760017-0605 From: OECD PARIS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750144/aaaabmtw.tel Line Count: 323

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 6

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Classification: Elivitied OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 STATE 8423, 76 STATE 2991, 76 OECD PARIS 1392
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 09 APR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <09 APR 2003 by MartinML>; APPROVED <10 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: JANUARY 16 G-8 MEETING: ADMINISTRATIVE AND ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS - PART II TAGS: EGEN, OECD, CIEC To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006